IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 83 OF 2006
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 2381 OF 2005

PRADEEP PURUSHOTTAM THAKKAR ... PETITIONER.
VS.
D.M.ASAWALE ... CONTEMNOR
AND
THE COMMISSIONER & ORS. ... RESPONDENTS.

MR,. S.S.SHAH FOR THE PETITIONER.
MR. P.G.LAD AGP FOR RESPONDENT NOS. 1 & 3..
MS. A.R.JOSHI FOR RESPONDENT NOS. 2 & 5.
MS. V. MIRASHI I/B. SHAH & SANGHAVI FOR RESPONDENT NO.4.

**Coram : S.K.Shah, J.**
**Date : December 22, 2006**

P.C.

1. Heard the learned Counsel on both sides. there is affidavit filed by Sanjay Keshavrao Dhikle, City Survey Officer, in-Charge City Survey Office. There is statement made in paragraph No. 4 that pursuant to the directions of the Court, the actual physical demarcation of the site has been carried in presence of the Petitioner, representative of respondent No.4, representative of B.M.C. and the Sub-Engineer (Development) "T" Ward on 6.11.2006. The learned Counsel for the Petitioner further makes out some grievance about the proper demarcation, however, we are not concerned with that in contempt jurisdiction. Since the demarcation is carried out, there is compliance with the Order of the Court. Therefore, nothing survives in this contempt petition. The contempt petition stands disposed of.

....

**[S.K.Shah , J.]**